

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 09/917,641	<b>Applicant(s)</b> SHINOHARA ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Melvin H. Pollack	<b>Art Unit</b> 2145	

**All Participants:**

(1) Melvin H. Pollack.

(2) Jill DeMello Hill (42,477).

**Status of Application:** allowance

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 15 September 2006

**Time:** 11:30 AM EST

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

18

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Examiner proposed amendment to claim 18 to bring into tangibility requirements of USC 101. Applicant accepted.*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

*Melvin H. Pollack*

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)